

Form Of Evaluating Researches And Classification For Scientific Promotion

Nan	ne:			Natior	ality	•••••		
Curr	ent Acader	nic Degree						
		notion Appli] Lecture		(Lecturer Assi	stant) [] Asso	ociate Profe	ssor [
Spec	cialization							
Rese	earches Pre	sented For	The Pu	rpose Of Scier	ntific Pro	motions	5	
•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••			
1.								•
Lang	guage Of Re	esearches P	resente	ed To Scientifi	c Promo	tions An	d Its Level	
No	Research S.No	Research Language	Weak	Satisfactory	Good	V .Good	Excellent	

1. The Relevancy Of The Specialization Of The Evaluator To The Candidate Of The Academic Promotion .

2.
 3.
 4.

2. What Is The Relevance Of The Researches Presented To The Priorities Of Scientific Research In Libya Or Development Plan :

Research S.No	RELATED	INDIRECTLY RELATED	NOT RELATED
	l	<u> </u>	

3. What Is The Relevance Of The Research Presented To The Research Methhode Study .

Research S.No	RELATED	INDIRECTLY RELATED	NOT RELATED

4. Recommendation: Based On The Foregoing And The Research Committee Decision No. **(501)** For The Year **2010** Concerning The Higher Education Legislation.

PROMOTING []
Name Of The EvaluatorAcademic RankAcademic Rank
Affiliation Of The Evaluator
Mobile
Name Of The BankAccount Number
Name Of The BankAccount NumberAccount Number

5.

•••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••				
	SIGNATURE.	•••••	•••••	•••••
	DATE		•••••	•••••
		Approved		
(Chairman Of The R	esearch Commit	tee On The High	er Studies