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Abstract
Recently a large effort was spent on forecasting the outcome of sporting events. Due to forecasting perspective, the presence of competition introduces particular modeling challenges, which in turn limit the applicability of standard techniques. The objective of this study is to create a soccer team performance-forecasting model based on Artificial Neural networks that is capable of forecasting soccer players’ performance depending on teams’ history and behavior in previous matches as an input. The proposed model was trained and tested using a dataset including the features of Egypt Telecommunications club 15 years soccer team participating in the Egyptian Football Association Youth Dorian. Simulation results indicated that the proposed model could be classified as a stable predication model especially for soccer team’s status and performance, achieving high accuracy rate up to 95%.

Introduction
Many different types of sports are existed for great human entertainments. These sports raise challenges between different teams, clubs and the public besides countries themselves. Soccer is a sport that is played on a large field divided into two halves [1] [2]. Goals are located on opposite sides of the field, and each team tries to kick the ball through the other team’s goal while defending its own goal.

In official games, the teams have 11 players per side, one of whom is a goalkeeper whose sole responsibility is to guard the goal. The goalkeeper may touch the ball with any part of his body, while the other players cannot touch it with their hands or arms. Players typically hit the ball with their feet, knees, and head. Frequently, soccer is played informally where there are fewer players on each team and there may not be a goalie [1] [3].

Official games run for 90 minutes but informal games run for as long as the players feel like playing. At the end of a game, the team that has scored the most goals wins, and the game is declared a tie if both teams scored an equal number of goals [4] [5].

On each team, players that run around near the enemy’s goal are designated as forwards, and they are primarily responsible for...
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scoring. Players that are usually located close to their own goal are designated as defenders, and they are primarily responsible for staying with enemy forwards and preventing them from scoring [1] [4].

Forecasting in soccer plays a major role in our world in two ways. The first is in fans, team managers, sponsors, media and punters who bet on online platforms widespread demand for professional advice regarding the results of sporting events is met by a variety of expert forecasts, usually in the form of recommendations from tipsters [5][6]. In addition, betting odds offer a type of predictor and source of expert advice regarding sports outcomes. The second is purely scientific where experts make use of their knowledge about soccer in enhancing teams performance but this represent only 25% of sports fucrating uses[6] [7].

Due to forecasting perspective, the presence of competition introduces particular modelling challenges, which in turn limit the applicability of standard techniques, e.g. regression and discriminate analysis. So a dedicated forecasting algorithm is needed to get accurate model of the outcomes from different competitive events. Most predictions or forecasts derived from experts or statistical models are more accurate than those made by experts based on informed judgment are. Statistical models may outcome more accurate output than human judgments as they employ objective criteria that lookout against bias and the distinctive analysis of data. However, sometimes-statistical models cannot capture non-quantitative factors. As a result, judgmental forecasting may do a better job, not only in less routine and more uncertain situations, but also in integrating qualitative factors into the forecasting process.

Because of the increasing number of sport competitions in different mode such as soccer, swimming, ridding, racing, etc. Forecasting of events outcome increases challenges between players, coaches and fans also enhances coaches’ game plans through analysing win and lose reasons. Starting from this point through this thesis, we will introduce a prediction model capable of forecasting soccer players’ performance using artificial neural networks, based on teams’ history and behavior in previous matches as input in the forecasting process. This paper is divided into 5 sections. Section 2 includes a detailed discussion on forecasting in soccer introducing the previous work. Section 3 covers the proposed model. Section 4 describes system analysis, implementations and simulation results. Finally, conclusions and future work are given in section 5.

1. FORECASTING IN SOCCER: RELATED WORK

Through this section we will introduce some of the latest studies in soccer forecasting models as in [8] Jasmine Siwei Xu et. al., were concerned with examining the efficiency of using bookmakers’ odds as forecasts of only in match outcomes and more uncertain situations, but also in integrating valuable factors into the forecasting process.

Constantinou and Fenton [9], despite the massive popularity of probabilistic (association) football forecasting models, and the relative simplicity of the outcome of such forecasts, there is no scoring rule to determine their forecast accuracy. Moreover, the various scoring rules used for validation in previous studies are inadequate since they fail to recognize that football outcomes represent a ranked (ordinal) scale.

Boshakov et. al., in [10] presented a Weibullinter-arrival times based count process and a copula forecasting model for association football scores. The proposed model was tested against simpler Poisson distribution-based model indicating that an improved fit to data compared to previous models and results in positive returns to betting is achieved by the proposed model.

Corona et. al., in [11] proposed a Bayesian approach for simulating the UEFA Champions League results under alternative seeding regimes. They indicated that the changes in 2015 tended to increase the uncertainty over progression to the knock-out stage, but made limited difference to which clubs would contest the final.

Koopman and Lit in [12] developed a dynamic multivariate model based on the score of the predictive observation mass function for a high-dimensional panel of weekly match results for the analysis and the forecasting of football match results in national league competitions. Match results from six large European football competitions was considered and validated for a period of seven years for each competition. The results indicated that the proposed model for pairwise counts delivers the most precise forecasts and outperforms benchmark and other competing models.

Egidi & Torelli in [13] developed a broad comparison of four possible Bayesian models, focusing on model checking and calibration and then using Markov chain Monte Carlo replications to explore the predictive performance over future matches. Finally, we can say that predicting the outcome of soccer matches is a very challenging issue. There is a high level of randomness in matches and player’s capabilities that make it difficult to obtain consistently accurate predictions. In the next section, a new technique of soccer team performance forecasting based on artificial neural network, will be described in details.

2. ANN SOCCER TEAM PERFORMANCE FORECASTING MODEL

The proposed model clarified in figure 1, mainly consists of an artificial neural network trained by a dataset describing soccer team performances. To start with the proposed model, we will divide it into two parts the first involves dataset while, the second involves the ANN.

2.1. Data set

Dataset was gathered from Egypt Telecommunication Company club 15 year’s old soccer juniors team. The dataset was a result of analysing the team performance through 20 matches through the Egyptian Junior Sectors League season 2018/2019. The team performance was evaluated depending on the number of successful and unsuccessful offensive and defensive tactics in different playground parts. A dataset of 20 matches was used in training and testing the neural network. Table 1 and figures (2 to 7) demonstrate an example of the data containing Individual offensive tactical performance, Playground parts, Successful performances and Unsuccessful performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>L.O.T.P</th>
<th>P.p</th>
<th>S.P</th>
<th>U.P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Direct short passing</td>
<td>of</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>de</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Direct long passing</td>
<td>of</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>de</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Receiving and short passing</td>
<td>of</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>de</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P.p: Playground parts.
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S.P: Successful performances.
U.P: Unsuccessful performances.

2.2. ANN Soccer Team Performance Forecasting Model

For team performance forecasting a feed forward neural network with an input layer of 21 neurons and one hidden layer was used. The number of neurons in the layer varied from the ½ of the number of inputs to the double number of inputs + 1 which is from 10 to 43 hidden neurons using a LOGSIG activation function.

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} \] \[ \text{[14]} \]

While, the output layer contains three neurons, one for a loser, one for a winner and the last for draw using a linear activation function. The training algorithm that proved to be the most effective and was used in final computations was the backpropagation algorithm.

\[ \text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (P_e^i - P_a^i)^2 \] \[ \text{[3.2]} \]

Where P'e is the success probability of the ith output estimated by the network, P'a is the actual probability of the ith output, and n is the total number of proposals in the testing set. n order to start NN predictor phase, we have split the soccer dataset into two parts the first for training, and the rest for network performance testing. A MATLAB environment with the Neural Networks Toolbox used to perform predictor training and testing.

2.2.1. Training

The proposed predictor was ready for data training with 10 matches data records. Network training was performed with the Neural Networks Toolbox in MATLAB environment in two cases first at learning rate (LR)=0.5 and second at LR = 1.

In each case attributes were trained and tested through eight classifiers with hidden layer ranging from 10 to 15 hidden neurons at three trails 1000, 1500 and 2000 epochs. Different random initial weights are used in each trial; the network is trained until the mean square error reaches zero.
2.2.2. Testing

The soccer predictor neural network was tested with the rest 10 matches data records. After testing the network each time, the mean square error value (MSE) was registered and the least MSE value will be of the suitable network to our predictor.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure (8) displays the MSE test results for FFNN predictor at different number of hidden neurons ranges from 10 to 15 neurons not from 10 to 43 as we mentioned in the previous chapter as the MSE of the 16 to 43 hidden neurons predictors gave the same number which make incomparable with others. So we had to eliminate their result and stick to two cases of LR at each case predictor with 10 to 15 hidden neurons were trained at 1000,1500 and 2000 epochs.

**Case I: at learning rate = 0.05**

Figure (8), illustrated that in the network trained at 1000 epochs the least MSE value is 0.01031 with a 13 hidden neurons, while the maximum MSE value is 0.039226 appeared at 10 hidden neurons.

Figure (9), illustrated that in the network trained at 1500 epochs the least MSE value is 0.010094 with a 14 hidden neurons, while the maximum MSE value is 0.039121 appeared at 10 hidden neurons.

Finally, Figure (10), illustrated that in the network trained at 2000 epochs the least MSE value is 0.011551 with a 14 hidden neurons, while the maximum MSE value is 0.039121 appeared at 10 hidden neurons.

**Case II: at learning rate = 1**

Figure (11), illustrated that in the network trained at 1000 epochs the least MSE value is 0.04921 with a 15 hidden neurons while, the maximum MSE value is 0.054578 appeared at 13 hidden neurons.

Figure (12), illustrated that in the network trained at 1500 epochs the least MSE value is 0.020015 with a 15 hidden neurons while, the maximum MSE value is 0.049465 appeared at 10 hidden neurons.

Finally, Figure (13), illustrated that in the network trained at 2000 epochs the least MSE value is 0.013125 with a 15 hidden neurons while, the maximum MSE value is 0.017422 appeared at 10 hidden neurons. We can now also determine that the least MSE value at LR=1 is 0.013125 appeared at a predictor with 15 hidden neurons trained at 2000 epochs.

Finally, it is included that the MSE value produced by 14 hidden neurons predictor trained at 1500 epochs at LR =0.5 was the least MSE among all predictor in case I and II. From the previous figures, the researcher can clarify that the prediction error is so small and nearly equal zero, Also, since it is possible to obtain it with a smaller neural network (21 Input-14 Hidden-3 Output) with LR=0.5 trained at 1500.
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Table (2) represents the confusion matrix of the soccer predictor, where there was only 1 mispredicting value as the desired output was draw where the real one was win. So the researcher can finally conclude that the proposed model provided 95 % accuracy in predicting the soccer team’s status and performance evaluation through the testing stage which is considered a good result.

Table 2: The Confusion Matrix of Soccer Predictor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desired output</th>
<th>Win</th>
<th>Lose</th>
<th>Draw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Win</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draw</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

Forecasting in sports plays a major role in our world in two ways. The first is in fans, team managers, sponsors, media and punters who bet on online platforms widespread demand for professional advice regarding the results of sporting events is met by a variety of expert forecasts, usually in the form of recommendations from tipsters. Starting from this point through this study the researcher introduced a prediction model capable of forecasting soccer players’ performance using artificial neural networks, based on teams’ history and behaviour in previous matches as input in the forecasting process. The researcher has implemented the proposed model using MATLAB environment with the Neural Networks Toolbox used to perform predictor training and testing. Experimental results from testing the proposed model were particularly encouraging, showing that this model is capable of achieving accurate forecasting results up to 95% through the testing phase.

References


