

مجلة العلوم البحثة والتطبيقية

Journal of Pure & Applied Sciences



www.Suj.sebhau.edu.ly ISSN 2521-9200 Received 18/01/2017 Revised 25/08/2017 Published online 31/12/2017

A survey of the prevalence of intestinal protozoa in Sebha city, Libya

Hasan M. S. Ibrahim

University of Sebha, Faculty of Science, Department of Zoology. Corresponding author: <u>has.elhajali@sebhau.edu.ly</u>

Abstract The study was conducted on the prevalence of intestinal protozoan parasites in Sebha city, Libya. Collection of 1,526 stool samples were undertaken from January to December 2014. All samples were examined in the Sebha Central Laboratory. The objective of the study was to measure the prevalence infection of intestinal protozoan in Sebha city. The Chi-square test was used to measure the statistical significant differences at level p<0.05. The results of the study showed that, 14.8% of the examined samples were infected with different species of protozoan parasites. *Blastocystis hominis* was the most common parasite detected in this study, with 9.8% of infection rate, followed by *Entamoeba histolytica/Entamoeba dispar* (3.1%), and then *Giardia lamblia* (1.5%), *Entamoeba coli* (0.3%), *Trichomonas hominis* (0.13%), and finally *Entamoeba hartmani* (0.07%). The prevalence of infection in females (15.8%) was insignificantly (p=0.357) higher than in males (13.9%). The highest prevalence (19.5%) was found in the group aged between 10 to 19 years, with a significant relationship between the intestinal protozoan infection and ages (p=0.004). The results revealed that the highest rate of infection was in February (21.9%), followed by 21.1% in January, with no significant differences in the prevalence of infection between the different months (p=0.706).

Keywords: Sebha; prevalence; intestinal protozoa.

دراسة نسبة انتشار الأوليات الطفيلية المعوية في مدينة سبها- ليبيا

حسن محمد صالح ابر اهیم

كلية العلوم- جامعة سبها- قسم علم الحيوان

للمر اسلة<u>has.elhajali@sebhau.edu.ly</u>

المنحص أجريت هذه الدراسة على معدل انتشار الأوليات الطفيلية المعوية التي تصيب الأمعاء في مدينة سبها، ليبيا. تم تجميع 1526 عينة براز خلال الفترة من يناير الى ديسمبر 2014، وقد تمت عملية فحص العينات في المختبر المركزي بسبها. تهدف هذه الدراسة الى قياس معدل انتشار الاصابة بالأوليات الطفيلية في مدينة سبها، وتمت دراسة العلاقة بين نسبة الاصابة مع كلا من الجنس، الفئات العمرية، وأشهر السنة باستخدام اختبار مربع كاي Chi-square test واعتبرت النسبة أقل من 5% ذات دلالة احصائية. أظهرت النتائج أن وأشهر السنة باستخدام اختبار مربع كاي Chi-square test واعتبرت النسبة أقل من 5% ذات دلالة احصائية. أظهرت النتائج أن معدل اسنة باستخدام اختبار مربع كاي Chi-square test واعتبرت النسبة أقل من 5% ذات دلالة احصائية. أظهرت النتائج أن حوالي 14.8% من العينات المفحوصة كانت مصابة [انواع مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل البلاستوسيستس هومينس حوالي 14.8% من العينات المفحوصة كانت مصابة [انواع مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل البلاستوسيستس هومينس حوالي 15.8% من العينات المفعوصة كانت مصابة النواع مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل البلاستوسيستس هومينس حوالي 15.8%، من العينات المفيلي المقدوصة كانت مصابة النواع مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل الزحار الأميبي قد 20%. معدل الأميبيا الزحار الأميبي الزحار الأميبيا الذواع مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل الزحار الأميبي الورام الأميبي الذوام مختلفة من الأوليات الطفيلية. ويعتبر طفيل الزحار الأميبي قدام معدل الأميبي قدى الأميبي قدار اله وينان في 15%، ثم طفيل الجيارديا لامبليا للأرحار الأميبي 20%. معدل معدل المنعرة المعابية المعدل المعدل المعدل المعينا المونية 15%. بقبقة العار المانية الأمرينية الطفيليات هي طفيل الأميبيا الورنية 20%، مع عدم وجود فرق احساس الأرك ماليا المعانية المعانية المعالية وينسبة التشار 20%. بقبق الزحار الأميبي أن معدل انتشار الأصابة في الإصبا مع التألي الأصابة في الإناث (15.8%)، وطفيل الأمريا القولونية المالي والأله الملولية المعاني بين نسبة انتشار الأصابة في الإناث (20%، مع مومود فرق احساني اللاصابة في الإناث (20%، على من الذكور (20.8%)، مع عدم وجود فرق احصائي بين نسبة انتشار الأصابة كان في شهر نوفسر الدرسة المعدية من 10 الى والسنة ويناسبة انتشار الأصابة

Introduction:

Intestinal parasitic infection is endemic throughout the world, especially in the developing country like Libya. In the year 2000, WHO reported that The effect and morbidity of the protozoan parasites estimated 3.5 billion and 450 million persons respectively (WHO, 2000). Human intestinal parasites are responsible for the most gastrointestinal disorders found both in developed and developing countries. Intestinal signs caused by these parasites are include abdominal pain, acute or chronic diarrhea, weight loss, nausea, vomiting and anemia (Masucci et al., 2014). *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia* are the most two protozoa associated with diarrhea, and they are causative agents of amebiasis and giardiasis, respectively (Fletcher et al., 2012). The transmission of the most protozoan parasites can be occurred by the faecal-oral route, and the most affected groups are children and young adults. Moreover, causes of parasitic infections are increasing by many factors such as poor socioeconomic status, poor hygienic environment, climate, overcrowding of people (Niyyati et al, 2009) and immigration of workers from the neighbouring countries (Nowara and Nair, 2005). There are many studies on the intestinal parasitic infections in Libya. Some of these studies were confined on school children, and others were on both children and adults. In Benghazi, the first study was conducted by Dar et al (1979) on school children, followed by EL-Boulaqi et al (1980) for the prevalence of intestinal parasites in primary school children. Another study was done by EL-Buni and Khan (1998) on the intestinal protozoan infections. Another four studies were conducted in Benghazi, two studies on the intestinal protozoan infections by El-Ammari & Nair (2003) and by Nowara & Nair (2005), whereas, the others were on the intestinal protozoan and helminth parasites by El-Ammari et al., (2004) and Kubti et al., (2011). In Tripoli, about five studies were done, the first was in 2006 by Ben-Rashed et al., and the second by Ben-Musa (2007). Two of these studies were in 2007 by Ben-Musa et al.; and the last study was by Rahouma et al.; (2011). Three studies were studied in Sirt by Alsirieti et al., (2006); Abdel-Magied and Elahwel (2006); and Kassem et al., (2007). In Derna, Sadaga and Kassem (2007) studied the prevalence of intestinal parasites in school children. Elsaid et al.(2014); El-Ammari & Nair (2015); and Mergani et al.,(2014) studied the prevalence of protozoan parasites in Zawia; Al-Khoms and Brack, respectively. Alkilani et al.(2008) and Saad et al.(2009) studied the incidence of intestinal parasites in Nalout and Wadi Al-Shati region, respectively. Ali et al.(2005) conducted a study on the prevalence of intestinal parasites in children in Zliten. In Sebha, two studies were conducted by ESalem et al., (2017) and Ibrahim and Salem (2017). The objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of intestinal protozoa infection in Sebha city- Libya.

Materials and Methods:

Study area: The study was conducted on the prevalence of of intestinal protozoan parasites in Sebha city, Libya. Sebha is situated in the Southeast of Libya between 26, 28 North, and 14,16 East. In this city, the temperature changes rapidly from the scorching-hot days ($45 \circ C$) to the cooler nights ($4 \circ C$).

Study populations: A total of 1526 fresh stool samples (796 males and 730 females) were collected for the study during the period from January to December, 2014. The ages of these cases ranged between 0 - 84 years, and divided into 7 age groups.

Data and sampling collection: Fresh stools were collected in clean, numbered plastic containers. Collection date and the personal information including name, sex and age were recorded for each sample. The macroscopic examination of each sample (consistency, coulor, and occurrence of blood and mucus) was checked. All the observations were recorded.

Examination of the samples: Fecal specimens were examined on the same day of collection by

direct wet smears by both normal saline solution and Lugol's iodine as described by Markell et al.(1999). Stool samples were not examined for *Cryptosporidium* spp. The identification of parasites cysts and/or trophozoites found in the stools was done using keys and descriptions (Jeffrey and Leach, 1991).

Statistical analysis: Epi Info 2000 software was employed. *P*-values were calculated using the Chi-square test. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results: From January 2014 to December in the same year, 1526 faecal samples from children and adults attending to Sebha Central Laboratory were examined for intestinal protozoan parasites. The highest number of the samples was collected in months of April and May (216 and 201

respectively), whereas, the lowest number (38 sample) was in January. The ages of these people ranged from 0 to 84 years, and slightly more than half of samples (52.2%, n=796) was males.

The results revealed that 14.8% (226/1526) of the total samples were positive with protozoan parasites. *B. hominis* was the most common parasite detected in this study, with prevalent rate of 9.8%, followed by *E. histolytica/E. dispar* (3.1%), *G. lamblia* (1.5%), *E. coli* (0.3%), *T. hominis* (0.13%), and finally *E. hartmani* (0.07%). However, a significant association (p=0.0001) was observed between the prevalences of infection of these protozoan parasites.

The results in Table 1 showed that the prevalence of infection in males was 13.9% (111/796), and a slight lower than in females (15.8%; 115/730), with no significant differences in the prevalence of infection between males and females (p=0.357). The distribution of the positive samples showed in figure 1.

Table	1:Prevalencwof Intestinal	protozoa
	according to sex.	

		<u> </u>					
Sex		The result					
		positive	negative	Total			
male	No.samples	111	685	796			
	Prevalence%	13.9%	86.1%	100%			
female	No.samples	115	615	730			
	Prevalence%	15.8%	84.2%	100%			
Total	No.samples	226	1300	1526			
	Prevalence%	14.8	85.2	100%			



Figure 1: The distribution of the positive samples with the protozoan parasites.

According to the age group, the results showed that the highest prevalence of protozoan infection was 19.5% (40/205), and it found in the group aged between 10 to 19 years, and the lowest

percentage was found in the group aged between 30 to 39 years with 9.1% (17/186). The results indicated in table 2 showed that there was a significant relation between the prevalence of intestinal protozoa and the age (p=0.004). The prevalence of protozoan infections had a higher rates in the months of February and January during the study year. The highest monthly rate

was in February (21.9%, 16/73), followed by 21.1% (8/38) in January. The lowest rates were 11.8% in both March (23/195) and August (11/93), with no significant differences in the prevalence of

Table2 : : Prevalence of protozoan infections according to age group.									
The result				Age group				Total	
	0-9	10-19	20-29	39-30	49-40	59-50	≥60		
positive	54	40	41	17	34	24	16	226	
	11.0%	19.5%	18.6	9.1%	18.3%	17.8	15.8%	14.8%	
negative	438	165	180	169	152	111	85	1300	
	89.0%	80.0%	81.4%	90.9%	81.7%	82.2%	84.2%	85.2%	
Total	492	205	221	186	186	135	101	1526	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	



Figure 2: Prevalence of the intestinal protozoan parasites according to months.

infection between the different months (p=0.706). The infection prevalence during the study period shown in figure 2, and the distribution of

intestinal parasites in infected people stratified by age and sex and by month shown in Tables 3 and 4 respectively.

Table 3: The distribution of intestina	l parasites in infected people stratified by age and sex
--	--

parasite	No. Of infected samples (%)								Total	
	Age group							Total	S	ex
	0-9	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	≥60		Male	Female
B.hominis	30	25	26	11	24	22	11	149	70	79
	13.3%	11.1%	11.5%	4.9	10.6%	9.7	4.9	65.9%	31.0%	35.0%
E.histolytical	11	11	7	3	10	0	5	47	27	20
E.dispar	4.9%	4.9%	3.1%	1.3%	4.4%	0.0%	2.2%	20.8%	11.9%	8.8%
G.lamblia	11	3	6	3	0	0	0	23	12	11
	4.9%	4.9%	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	5.3%	4.9%
E.coli	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	4	1	3
	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.4%	1.3%
T.hominis	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	1	3
	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.4%	1.3%
E.hortmani	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0%	0.4%
Total	54	40	41	17	34	24	16	226	111	115
	23.9%	17.7%	18.1%	7.5%	15.0%	10.6%	7.1%	100.0%	49.1%	50.9%

Discussion: Intestinal protozoan parasites are major public health problems in developing countries. Their infections affect a huge number of people, and responsible for the morbidity in 450

million person in the world (WHO, 2000). The main causal agents of gastrointestinal disorders

are diarrhoea, dysentery, weight loose, vomiting, abdominal pain and anaemia (Bethony et al., 2006). Very little is known and studied about the prevalence of intestinal protozoa in the south of Libya. The present study was conducted at Sebha Medical Centre over a 12-month period in 2014. The current findings indicated that the overall prevalence of intestinal protozoan infection was 14.8% which is equal to the study in Sebha (14.9%) and Al-Khoms city (15.4%) by Ibrahim and Salem (2017) and El-Ammari and Nair (2015), respectivaley. The present result is lower in comparison to studies carried in some cities in Libya and other countries in the world. In Libya, these results were obtained by Boulaqi et al.,(1980) in Benghazi (75.6%); Sadaga and Kassem (2007) in Derna (31.0%); and Alkilani et al., (2008) in Nalout 29.6%). Whereas in other countries were conducted by Akhter et al. (1994) in Saudi Arabia (27.8%); Farag (1985) in Yemen (53%); and Mehraj et al.,(2008) in Pakistan (52%). On the other hand, the current result (14.8%) seems to be higher than other findings in Libya studied by Elsaid et al., (2014) in Zawia (7.4%) and El-Buni and Khan (1998) in Benghazi (12.9%).

Table 4. The di	stribution of	intestinal	narasites in	infected	neonle	stratified h	v month
Table 4.1 lie ul	stribution of	micsimai	parasites m	meeteu	heohie	s stratifieu b	y month.

	Protozoan parasites								The result		
Month	B.hominis	E.histolytica	G.lambla	E.coli	T.hominis	E.hartmani	Total	positive	negative		
		E.dispar						-	-		
January	5	2	1	0	0	0	38	8	30		
-	2.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	21.1%	78.9%		
February	14	0	1	0	0	1	73	16	57		
	6.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0	0.0	0.4%	100.0%	21.9	78.1		
March	17	5	1	0	0	0	195	23	172		
	7.5%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	11.8%	88.2%		
April	16	7	3	1	1	0	216	28	188		
	7.1%	3.1%	1.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	13.0%	87.0%		
May	14	9	4	1	0	0	201	28	173		
	6.2%	4.0%	1.8%	0.4	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	13.9	86.1		
June	13	4	1	1	1	0	141	20	121		
	5.8%	1.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	14.2%	85.8%		
July	8	2	1	0	0	0	65	11	54		
	3.5%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	100.0%	16.9%	83.1%		
August	10	1	0	0	0	0	93	11	82		
	4.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	11.8%	88.2%		
September	14	2	1	0	0	0	101	17	84		
	6.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	16.8%	83.2%		
October	7	1	2	1	0	0	77	11	66		
	3.1%	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	14.3	85.7%		
November	18	8	4	0	0	0	180	30	150		
	8.0%	3.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	16.7%	83.3%		
December	13	6	4	0	0	0	146	23	123		
	5.8%	2.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15.8%	84.2%		
Total	149	47	23	4	2	1	1526	226	1300		
	65.9%	29.8%	10.2%	1.8%	0.9%	0.4%	100.0%	14.8	85.2%		

In this study the positive rate of intestinal protozoa in females was higher than in males. The percentages were 15.8%) & (13.9%) in females and males respectively, but it is not statistically significant (p=0.357). This finding is similar to the result by Okyay et al.,(2004) who found the prevalence in females (50.9%) was higher than in males (49.1%). On the contrary, Elsaid et al.,2014 found the positive rate was (10.1%) in females and (11.3%) in males. The high prevalence of infection in females may referred to the eating unwashed fruits and vegetables by women which may be contaminated with protozoan cysts. On the other hand, the infection rate of protozoa was similar between male and female in two studies conducted By Ngui et al.; (2011) in Malaysia and Sah et al.; (2013) in Nepal with infection rate of 18.4% vs 18.6% and 73% vs 73.3%, respectively. The present study found that there is a significant deference (p=0.004) between the rate of intestinal parasites infection and age groups. This result agrees with studies by Gelaw et al. 2013 who found the high prevalence of intestinal protozoa in the age group of 10-12

years. This finding may be due to the activity of people in the ages between 10-19 years. As well as, Masucci et al., (2011) found that the overall prevalence 1.3% (69/5351), and the rate was

al, 1979; Sadaga and Kassem, 2007; and Elsaid et al., 2014 found no statistical differences between age groups (p>0.05). The most significant relations in this study between the prevalence of intestinal protozoa and the age were in the two age groups (0-9 and 30-39) in relation to the other age groups. In this study the prevalence of intestinal protozoan parasites found was significantly higher in February (21.9%) and January (21.1%), and low in August (11.8%). This finding may attributed to the increase of eating unwashed fresh salad at this time and/or the high temperature (>45 ° C) in Summer months may affect on the cyst of protozoan parasites. As mentioned previously, six intestinal protozoan parasites were detected in this study namely: B. hominis, E. histolytica/E. dispar, G. lamblia, E. coli, T. hominis, and E. hartmani. B. hominis was the most common parasite detected in this study. This parasite has a worldwide distribution and the most commonly Parasite in the world (Aguiar et al., 2007), and still not clear whether this parasite is a pathogen or commensal (Tan, 2008; Shlim et al., 1995). In this study, The infection rate of B. hominis was 9.8% (149/1526). It represented

65.9% from the infected people (149/226). The

level of infection by B. hominis was higher among

increased three times in children less than 15

years of age (4.8%; 38/797). In contrast, Dar et

children less than nine years of age (0-9), it was 20.1% from the infected people with this parasite (30/149). The second most frequently identified intestinal Protozoa parasite detected in this study was E. histolytica/E. dispar. E. histolytica was a pathogenic protozoan parasite causing amoebiasis, and responsible for the mortality in the world. In this study, the use of two parasites together as E. histolytica/E. dispar because it cannot microscopically distinguish between these two parasites. A study in Italy in 2011 by Masucci et al., found that E. histolytica/ or E. dispar found in 33 patients by microscopy, and in only 11 patients of these by molecular techniques. Another study in 2007 from Saudi Arabia by Al-Harthi and Jamjoom found the presence of E. histolytica/ or E. dispar in 65% of the samples by microscopy and by using the second generation E. histolytica-specific EIAs found the E. histolytica in 2.6% only. The findings of this study resulted that the infection rate with this parasite was 3.1% (47/1526), and equal result was obtained in Zawia by Elsaid et al., (2014) who found 3.1% infection rate. Different percentages for this parasite were reported in Libya, 11.8% in Zliten (Ali et al., 2005); 3.0% in Tripoli (Ben-Rashed et al., 2006); 16.3% in Sirt (Abdel-Magied and Elahwel, 2006); 21% in Nalout (AlKilani et al., 2008); 6.6% in Derna (Sadaga and Kassem, 2007); 1.2% in Wadi-Alshati (Saad et al., 2009); 4.4% in Benghazi (Kubti et al., 2011); and 12.1% in Alkhoms (El-Ammari and Nair, 2015). The level of infection by E. histolytica/E. dispar was higher among the first two age groups (0-9 and 10-19), they were 23.4% from the infected people with this parasite (11/47). Study by Sadaga and Kassem (2007) in Derna city resulted that the prevalence of E. histolytica/E. dispar was significantly higher in children of less than 10 years compared with the other age groups. Ximenez et al.,(2009) is also found that Ε. histolytica mostly affect children less than 15 years of age, with a significant increase in those aged 5-9 years. The third most common species in this study was G. lamblia, which is also a pathogenic protozoan parasites causing giardiasis, and infects 200 million person in the world. This parasite has been recognized as one of the most significant causes of diarrhea in children and early infancy (Muhsen and Levine, 2012). The current findings indicated that the prevalence of Giardia infection was 1.5% (23/1526), which similar to the results in other cities:1.3% in Tripoli (Rahouma et al. 2011); 1.3% in Brack (Mergani et al., 2014); 1.2% in Zliten (Ali et al., 2005); 1.8% in Zawia (Elsaid et al., 2014); 1.8% in Wadi-Alshati (Saad et al., 2009). Furthermore, this result (1.5%) is lower in comparison to studies carried out by Ben-Rashed et al., (2006) in Tripoli (17%); Abdel-Magied and Elahwel (2006) in Sirt (28.8%); and Sadaga and Kassem(2007) in Derna (12.7%). The level of infection by G. lamblia was higher in children under nine years of age (0-9), it was 47.8% from the infected people with this parasite (11/23). Our results of *E. histolytica/E. dispar* and G. lamblia infections confirmed to those were obtained by Alsiriti et al., (2006) who indicated

that the infections with these two protozoan parasites are common in the people aged between 2 to 10 years compared to other age groups. The fourth common species in this study was E. coli, which was detected only in four indiviuals (0.3%), three were females, and one male. The current result was low in comparison with different rates were reported in Zawia 3.8% by Elsaid et al.(2014); in Benghazi (11.4%) by Dar et al., (1979); 19.7% by EL-Boulagi et al., (1980), and 6.24% by EL-Buni and Khan (1998). In this study, T. hominis was found in two persons (0.13%, 2/1526) one was male and one female, wherase, E. hartmani was only found in one female (0.07%; 1/1526).In conclusion, the present study showed low prevalence of intestinal protozoan infections with E. histolytica/E. dispar and G. lamblia compaired with the previous studies in Libya. Therefore, addition to microscopic in examinations, we suggest further methods like molecular techniques or E. histolytica-specific EIAs to distinguish between the pathogenic E. histolytica and the non-pathogenic E. dispar. Furthermore, the examination of several samples of stool per person is still the alternative way to confirm all detected results.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank the staff of Sebha Central Laboratory, especially

parasitology lab, for their kind assistance.

- References:
- [1]- Abdel-Magied A. and Elahwel A. (2006). Factors associated with intestinal parasitic infection among school children in Sirt, *Libya. Benha Med J.* 23: 821-832.
- [2]- Aguiar J; Gonçalves A; Sodré FC; Pereira-Sdos R; Bóia M; de Lemos E; et al. (2007). Intestinal protozoa and helminths among Terena Indians in the State of Mato Grosso do Sul: high prevalence of *Blastocystis hominis*. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 40(6): 631-634.
- [3]- Akhter J, Markley Burdette J, Hussain Q. (1994). Aetiology of gastroenteritis at a major referral centre in Saudi Arabia. J Inter Med Res; 22: 47-54.
- [4]- Al-Harthi S. and Jamjoom M. (2007). Diagnosis and differentiation of *Entamoeba* infection in Makkah Al Mukarramah using microscopy and stool antigen detection kits. *World J Med Sci.* 2: 15-20.
- [5]- Ali M; Ghenghesh K; Ben Aissa R; Abuhelfaia A; Dufani M. (2005). Etiology of childhood diarrhea in Zliten-Libya. Saudi Med J. 2005; 26: 1759-1765.
- [6]- AlKilani M; Dahesh S; El Taweel H. (2008). Intestinal parasitosis in Nalout popularity, western Libya. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol.* 38: 255-264.
- [7]- Alsirieti S; Elahwel A; and Elamari A. (2006). Intestinal Protozoa in Libyan patients in Sirt. Jamahiriya Med J. 6: 59-61.
- [8]- Ben-Musa N. (2007). Intestinal parasites in school aged children and the first case report on amebiasis in urinary bladder in Tripoli, Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 37: 775-784.
- [9]- Ben-Musa N. and Ibrahim R.(2007). Long term formalin preserved stool specimens for detection of intestinal parasites from school

aged children in Tripoli, Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 37: 1049-1054.

- [10]-Ben-Musa N; Sehari A; Hawas A. (2007). Intestinal parasitic infections among school children in Tripoli, Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 37: 1011-1016.
- [11]-Ben-Rashed M; Abulhassan M; Tabit A; Hawas A. (2006). Demographic features of intestinal parasitic infection among Libyan children. Jamahiriya Med J. 6: 138-140.
- [12]- Bethony J; Brooker S; Albanico M; Geiger S; Loukas A; Diemert D; Hotez P. (2006). Soil-transmitted helminth infections; Ascaris, Trichuriasis and hookworm. *Lancet* 367, 1521.
- [13]- Dar F; Elkhouly S; EL-Boulaqi H; Munir R; and El-Maghrebi S. (1979). Intestinal Parasites in Benghazi School Children. *Garyounis Med J.* 2(1): 3-7.
- [14]- EI-Ammari N. and Nair G. (2003). Occurrence and prevalence of intestinal protozoan parasites in male and female Libyan nationals residing in Benghazi, Libya. *Rev Ibe 'rica Parasitol.* 63: 47-54.
- [15]- El-Ammari N. and Nair G. (2015). Critical evaluation of the intestinal Protozoan parasites among Libyan and other African residents of Al-Khoms, Libya. *J Entomol Zool Stud.* 3: 42-46.
- [16]- El-Ammari N; Nair G; Kassem H. (2004). Intestinal protozoan and helminth parasites among Libyans, non-Libyan Arabs and non-Arabs living in Benghazi, *Libya. Jordan J Appl Sci.* 6: 72-81.
- [17]- EL-Boulaqi H; Dar F; and Medini M. (1980). Prevalence Intestinal Parasites in Primary School Children in Benghazi city. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 10 (1): 77-82.
- [18]- EL-Buni A. and Khan A. (1998). Intestinal Protozoan Infections in Benghazi. Sebha Med J. 1(2): 106-108.
- [19]- Elsaid M; Shaktur A; Elsaid S; EL-Alem M; Traish K; Kahbar F. (2014). Prevalence of intestinal protozoa in primary schools in Zawia City, Libya. Nat Sci. 12: 67-71.
- [20]- ESalem R. M.; Gahgah S. A.; Ali A. S.; Al-Shrief S. A. (2017). Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with *Entamoeba histolytica* Infection among Children in Sebha, Libya. Dentistry and Medical Research, 5:48-51.
- [21]- Farag H. (1985). Intestinal parasitosis in the population of the Yemen Arab Republic. *Trop Geog Med.* 37: 29-31.
- [22]-Fletcher S; Stark D; Harkness J; Ellis J. (2012). Enteric protozoa in the developed world: a public health perspective. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 25: 420–449.
- [23]- Gelaw A; Anagaw B; Nigussie B; Silesh B; Yirga A; Alem M; Endris M. and Gelaw B. (2013). Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections and risk factors among schoolchildren at the University of Gondar Community School, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 13:304.
- [24]-Ibrahim H. M. S., Salem A. H. A. (2017). Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections

among people in Sebha city, Libya. Second International Conference of Basic Sciences & Their Applications. Al-Bayda, Libya. 25-27 April, 2017

- [25]-Jeffrey H. and Leach R. (1991). Atlas of Medical Helminthology and Protozology. third edn.
- [26]- Kasssem H; Zaed H; and Sadaga G. (2007). Intestinal parasitic infection among children and neonatus admitted to Ibn-Sina Hospital, Sirt, Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 37: 371-380.
- [27]- Kubti Y; Ali M; Khan A; Daw A. (2011). Prevalence of intestinal parasites among food handlers in Benghazi, Libya. Sebha Med J. 10: 22-24.
- [28]- Markell E; John D; Krotoski W.(1999). Medical Parasitology. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, USA.
- [29]- Masucci L; Graffeo R; Bani S; Bugli F; Boccia S; Nicolotti N; Fiori B; Fadda G; Spanu T. (2011). Intestinal parasites isolated in a large teaching hospital, Italy, 1 May 2006 to 31 December 2008. *Euro Surveill.* 16(24).
- [30]- Mehraj V; Hatcher J; Akhtar S; Rafique G; Beg MA. (2008). Prevalence and factors associated with intestinal parasitic infection among children in an urban slum of Karachi,. PLoS ONE 3: e3680. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003680.
- [31]- Mergani M; Mohammed M; Khan N; Bano M; Khan A. (2014). Detection of intestinal protozoa by using different methods. *Dent Med Res.* 2: 28-32.
- [32]- Muhsen K; Levine M. (2012). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between *Giardia lamblia* and endemic pediatric diarrhea in developing countries. *Clin Infect Dis.* 55(Suppl 4): S271-293.
- [33]- Ngui R; Ishak S; Chuen C; Mahmud R; Lim Y. (2011). Prevalence and Risk Factors of Intestinal Parasitism in Rural and Remote West Malaysia. *PLoS. Negl. Trop. Dis.* 5(3): 974.
- [34]- Niyyati M; Rezaeian M; zahabion F; Hajarzadeh R; Kia E. (2009). A survey on intestinal parasitic infections in patients referred to a hospital in Tehran. *Pakistan J Med Sci.* 25(1): 90-97.
- [35]- Nowara E. and Nair G. (2005). Intestinal protozoan parasites among Libyan, non-Libyan African and non-African residents of Benghazi, Libya. *Rev Ibe 'rica Parasitol.* 65: 15-20.
- [36]- Okyay P; Ertug S; Gultekin B; Onen O; Beser E.(2004). Intestinal parasites prevalence and related factors in school children, a western city sample. *Turkey BMC Pub Heal*. 22: 4-64. Rahouma A; Klena J; Krema Z; Abobker A; Treesh K; Franka E; et al. (2011). Enteric pathogens associated with childhood diarrhea in Tripoli-Libya. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 84: 886-891.
- [37]- Saad G; Khan A; Ajaili A; Abdulsalam A; Al-Shebani M; Kubti Y. (2009). A study of prevalence of human intestinal parasites in Wadi Al-Shati region. *Sebha Med J.* 8: 51-58.

- [38]- Sadaga G. and Kassem H. (2007). Prevalence of intestinal parasites among primary schoolchildren in Derna District, Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 37: 205-214.
- [39]- Sah R; Paudel I; Baral R; Poudel P; Jha N. and Pokharel P. (2013). A study of prevalence of intestinal protozoan infections and associated risk factors among the school children of Itahari, Eastern region of Nepal. J Chitwan Med Col. 3(3): 32-36.
- [40]- Shlim D; Hoge C; Rajah R; Rabold J; Echeverria P (1995). Is *Blastocystis hominis* a cause of diarrhea in travelers? A prospective controlled study in Nepal. Clin Infect Dis; 21(1):97–101.
- [41]- Tan K.S. (2008). New insights on classification, identification, and clinical relevance of *Blastocystis spp. Clin Microbiol Rev.* 21 (4): 639-65.
- [42]- World Health Report (2000). Conquering Suffering Enriching Humanity. Geneva.
- [43]- Ximenez C; Mora'n P; Rojas L; Valadez A; Go'mez A. (2009). Reassessment of the epidemiology of amebiasis: state of the art. *Infect Genet Evol.* 9: 1023-1032.