

Certain Subclasses of k - Uniformly Starlike and Convex Functions Defined by Convolution with Varying Arguments of Coefficients

*A. A. Hussain¹, A. O. Mostafa² and M. K. Aouf²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Sirte University, Libya

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansura University, Egypt

*Corresponding author: aisha-hussain84@su.edu.ly

Abstract In this paper we introduce and study the subclass $VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$, which represent the k -uniformly analytic functions of order α with varying argument of coefficients. Moreover, we give coefficient estimates, growth distortion bounds and radii of starlikeness and convexity.

Keywords: Analytic functions, k -uniformly convex, k -uniformly starlike, varying arguments.

فصول جزئية معينة للدوال التحليلية النجمية والمحدبة وحيدة التكافؤ وذات المعاملات متغيرة السعة والمعرفة بواسطة الالتفاف

*عائشة عبد اللطيف حسين¹ و عديلة عثمان مصطفى² و محمد كمال عوف²

¹ قسم الرياضيات - كلية التربية - جامعة سرت ، ليبيا

² قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة المنصورة - جمهورية مصر العربية

*للمراسلة: aisha-hussain84@su.edu.ly

المخلص في هذا البحث عرفنا الفصل $VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ للدوال التحليلية وحيدة التكافؤ من رتبة α وذات المعاملات متغيرة السعة والمعرفة على قرص الوحدة $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ ، تحصلنا على نظرية المعاملات لهذا الفصل ودرسنا بعض الخواص لدوال هذا الفصل وهي - التشوه - وأنصاف أقطار التحذب. ، وكل النتائج التي حصلنا عليها قاطعة.
الكلمات المفتاحية: الدوال التحليلية النجمية أحادية التكافؤ- الدوال التحليلية المحدبة أحادية التكافؤ- ساعات متغيرة.

Introduction

The class of analytic and univalent functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ and has the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

is denoted by S For $f(z) \in S$ and $g(z) \in S$ of the form:

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $(f * g)(z)$ of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad (1.3)$$

Using the convolution, we define a unified subclass of univalent function class S as follows:

Definition 1. For $(0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, k(1 - \beta) < (1 - \alpha))$ and $g(z)$ of the form (1.2), let $U_\gamma(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ denote the subclass of S consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and satisfy the following inequality:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + (1 + 2\gamma)z^2(f * g)''(z) + \gamma z^3(f * g)'''(z)}{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + (1 + 2\gamma)z^2(f * g)''(z) + \gamma z^3(f * g)'''(z)}{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)} - \beta \right|. \quad (1.4)$$

For different choices of parameters α, β, γ, k and the function $g(z)$ in (1.4) we obtain many subclasses of the class $U_\gamma(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ studied earlier see (for example) ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]), as well as new classes defined by differentiable and integrable operators. Also we obtain the following new subclass as follows:

$$U_0(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) = k - UCV(f, g, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$\left\{ f \in S : Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > k \left| 1 + \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} - \beta \right| \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

$(0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1, k(1 - \beta) < (1 - \alpha), z \in \mathbb{U})$

Let V_θ be the class of functions $f(z) \in S$ of the form (1.1), for which $arg(a_n) \equiv \pi + (n + 1)\theta, n \geq 2$. We note that for $\theta = 0$, we obtain the familiar class T of functions with negative coefficients [10]. Moreover, we define $V = \cup_{\theta \in R} V_\theta$. The class V was introduced by Sliverman [11].

Further, we define the class $VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ by $VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) = U_\gamma(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) \cap V_\theta$. (1.6)

For different choices of parameters α, β, γ, k and the function $g(z)$ in (1.6) we obtain many subclasses of the class $VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ studied earlier see (for example) ([2] and [7]), as well as new subclasses with varying arguments of coefficients defined by differentiable and integrable operators. Also we obtain a new subclass with varying arguments of coefficients to the subclass defined in (1.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} VU_{\theta, 0}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) &= VU_{\theta}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) \\ &= U(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k) \cap V_{\theta} \\ &= k - VUCV(f, g, \alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we study some properties of the function of the form (1.1) and belongs to the class $VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Coefficiente stimates.

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout our present paper that: $g(z)$ is defined by (1.2) with $b_n > 0 (n \geq 2), 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, k(1 - \beta) < (1 - \alpha), z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\Psi_n = n[1 + \gamma(n - 1)]$ (2.1)

Theorem 1. A function $f(z) \in U_{\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [k(n - \beta) + (n - \alpha)] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| \leq (1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta) \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that inequality (1.4) holds true. Using the fact that

$$Re\{w - \alpha\} > k|w - \beta| \Leftrightarrow Re\{(1 + ke^{i\theta})w - \beta ke^{i\theta}\} > \alpha, \tag{2.3}$$

then inequality (1.4) may be written as

$$Re\left\{ (1 + ke^{i\theta}) \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + (1 + 2\gamma)z^2(f * g)''(z) + \gamma z^3(f * g)'''(z)}{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)} - \beta ke^{i\theta} \right\} \geq \alpha, \tag{2.4}$$

or

$$Re\left\{ \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \tag{2.5}$$

Where

$$A(z) = (1 + ke^{i\theta})[z(f * g)'(z) + (1 + 2\gamma)z^2(f * g)''(z) + \gamma z^3(f * g)'''(z)] - \beta ke^{i\theta}[z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)],$$

and

$$B(z) = z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) + (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| &= [(1 - \beta)ke^{i\theta} + 2 - \alpha]z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)ke^{i\theta} - 1 + \alpha] \Psi_n b_n a_n z^n \\ &\geq [(1 - \beta)k + 2 - \alpha]|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)k - 1 + \alpha] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| |z|^n \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| &= [(1 - \beta)ke^{i\theta} + 2 - \alpha]z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)ke^{i\theta} - 1 - \alpha + n] \Psi_n b_n a_n z^n \\ &\leq [(1 - \beta)k + \alpha]|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)k - 1 + \alpha + n] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| |z|^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| + |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ \geq 2[(1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta)] |z| - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)(n - \alpha)] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| |z|^n \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The expression $|A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| + |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)|$ is bounded below by if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)k + (n - \alpha)] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| \leq (1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta). \tag{2.10}$$

Hence the proof is completed. ■

In the following theorem, we find the necessary condition for functions of the form (1.1) to be in the subclass $VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$

Theorem 2. A function $f(z) \in VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \beta)k + (n - \alpha)] \Psi_n b_n |a_n| \leq (1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta), \tag{2.11}$$

where Ψ_n are given by (2.1).

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we need only to show that $f(z) \in VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ satisfies the coefficient inequality (2.11). If $f(z) \in VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$, then from (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right\} \\ \geq k \left| \frac{(1 - \beta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Since $f(z)$ is of the form (1.1) with the argument property given in the class $V(\theta_n)$ and setting $z = re^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\delta]}$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n |a_n| e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\delta]} z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n |a_n| e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\delta]} z^{n-1}} \right\} \\ \geq k \left| \frac{(1 - \beta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n |a_n| e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\delta]} z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n |a_n| e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\delta]} z^{n-1}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Re\{w(z)\} < |w(z)| < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}} > \\ k \left[\frac{(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) \Psi_n b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, then we have the inequality (2.11). ■

Corollary 1. If $f(z) \in VU_{\theta, \gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta)}{[(n - \beta)k + (n - \alpha)] \Psi_n b_n} (n \geq 2), \tag{2.14}$$

and equality holds for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)}{[(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n} e^{i\theta_n} z^n \quad (n \geq 2; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.15)$$

3. Growth and distortion theorems.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ Then for $|z| < r = 1$

$$r - \frac{(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Sharppeness holds for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} e^{i\theta} z^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. From (2.11), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n |a_n| \leq (1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| & \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ & \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| & \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ & \leq r - \frac{(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. ■

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then for $|z| < r = 1$

$$1 - \frac{2[(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)]}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2[(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)]}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} r. \quad (3.3)$$

Sharppeness holds for $f(z)$ given by (3.2).

Proof. We have

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|. \quad (3.5)$$

In view of (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\Omega_n - \beta\Psi_n) + (\Omega_n - \alpha\Psi_n)]b_n |a_n| \\ & \leq (1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta), \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \frac{2[(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)]}{[(2-\beta)k+(2-\alpha)]\Psi_2 b_2} \quad (3.7)$$

A substitution of (3.7) into (3.4) and (3.5) yields the inequality (3.3).

Theorem 5. Let $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$, with $arg(a_n) \equiv \pi + (n+1)\theta, n \geq 2$. Let

$$f_1(z) = z$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) & = z + \frac{[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]}{[(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n} e^{i\theta_n} z^n \\ & \quad (n \geq 2; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Then $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$, if and only if $f(z)$ can be expressed in the for

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n f_n(z), \quad (3.9)$$

where $v_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n = 1$.

Proof. If $f(z)$ is given by (3.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n f_n(z) = v_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} v_n f_n(z) \\ & = (v_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} v_n)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)]}{[(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n} v_n e^{i\theta_n} z^n \\ & = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-\alpha)-k(1-\beta)]}{[(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n} v_n e^{i\theta_n} z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-\beta)k \\ & + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n \left| \frac{[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]}{[(n-\beta)k+(n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n} v_n e^{i\theta_n} \right| \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)] v_n \\ & = (1-v_1)(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta) \\ & \leq (1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(z)$ satisfies (2.11) and hence $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Conversely, let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1)

belongs to the class $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$,

$$v_n = \frac{[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)} a_n \quad (n \geq 2),$$

$$v_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} v_n.$$

From Theorem 2, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} v_n \leq 1$ and so $v_1 \geq 0$. Since $v_n f_n(z) = v_n z + a_n z^n$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n f_n(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n = f(z).$$

Remark 1. Putting $\gamma = 0$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ or ($b_n = 1$ with $n \geq 2$) in Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively, we obtain the results obtained by El-Ashwah et al. ([2], Theorems 8, 14, 15 and 17, respectively).

4. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

Theorem 6. Let $f(z) \in VU_{\theta,\gamma}(f, g, \alpha, \beta, k)$ Then

(i) $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta \leq 1)$ in $|z| < r_1$,

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{n[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (4.1)$$

(ii) $f(z)$ is starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta \leq 1)$ in $|z| < r_2$,

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{(k-\delta)[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad (4.2)$$

(iii) $f(z)$ is convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta \leq 1)$ in $|z| < r_3$,

$$r_3 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{n(k-\delta)[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Each of these results is sharpness for $f(z)$ given by (2.15).

Proof. We must show that

$$|f(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta \text{ for } |z| < r_1, \quad (4.4)$$

where r_1 is given by (4.1). Indeed we find from (1.1) that

$$|f(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$|f(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta,$$

If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{1-\delta}\right) |a_n||z|^{n-1} \leq 1. \quad (4.5)$$

But, by Theorem 2 and (4.5) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{n}{1-\delta}\right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]}$$

that is, if

$$|z| = \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-\beta)k + (n-\alpha)]\Psi_n b_n}{n[(1-\alpha) - k(1-\beta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

the proof (i) is completed. The proof of (ii) and (iii) is similar to (i) and will be omitted. ■

Remark 2. Taking $\gamma = 0$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ or ($b_n = 1$ with $n \geq 2$) in our results, we obtain the results obtained by Magesh [7].

Remark 3. Putting $\gamma = 0$ in our results, we obtain new results associated with the subclass $k-VUCV(f, g, \alpha, \beta)$, defined in the introduction.

References

- [1]- M. K. Aouf and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, On a subclass of uniformly convex functions defined by the Dziok-Srivastava operator, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 5(2008), no. 1, Art. 3, 1-17.
- [2]- R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, A. A. Hassan and A. H. Hassan, Certain new classes of analytic functions with varying arguments, J. Complex Analysis, 2013(2013), 1-5.
- [3]- S. Kanas, Uniformly convex functions, Internat. J. Appl. Math., 1(1999), no. 3, 305-310.
- [4]- S. Kanas and H.M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k -uniformly convex functions, Integral Transform. Spec. Funt., 9 (2000), 121-132.
- [5]- S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k -starlike functions, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 45 (2000), 647-657.
- [6]- S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 105(1999), 327-336.
- [7]- N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of uniformly convex functions of order and type with varying arguments, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., (2013), 1-6.
- [8]- S. Owa, Y. Polatoglu and E. Yavuz, Coefficient inequalities for classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 7(2006), no. 5, Art. 160, 1-5.
- [9]- Y. J. Sim, O. S. Kwon, N. E. Cho, and H. M. Srivastava, Some classes of analytic functions associated with conic regions, Taiwanese J. Math., 16(2012), no. 1, 387-408.
- [10]- H. Sliverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.
- [11]- H. Sliverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, Houston J. Math., 7 (1981), no. 2, 283-287.